## FX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Mation - Cable Television Association

ASS COME DESIGNATION OF THE SECOND OF THE SE

24 Massachuseds Avenue Northwelt Arishington (1.4 - 100.4 - 106) In 2014 (66)

February 13, 1995

RECEIVED

FEB 1 3 1995

FEDERAL CCURULATION

OFFICE UF SECURE IN IT

## **EX PARTE**

William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Compatibility Between Cable Systems and Consumer

Electronics Equipment, ET Docket No. 93-7

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed for filing are an original and one copy of the enclosed letter to Richard Smith, Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology, for inclusion in the record of the above-captioned proceeding. The service list is also attached for filing.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Loretta P. Polk

South rack

Enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd 541 List ABCDE

FEB 1 3 1995

National Cable Television Association

1724 Massachusetts Avenue Profession Washington, D.C. 20036-1969

February 13, 1995

## **BY HAND**

Mr. Richard Smith, Chief Office of Engineering and Technology Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W. Room 7002 Washington, D.C. 20554

> Re: Compatibility Between Cable Systems and Consumer Electronics Equipment, ET Docket No. 93-7

Dear Mr. Smith:

As you may be aware, the Consumer Electronics Group of the Electronic Industries Association ("EIA") recently filed a series of <u>ex parte</u> statements regarding the Decoder Interface in the above-referenced proceeding, culminating in a February 3, 1995 announcement of its withdrawal from the proposed interface standard. Specifically, EIA is withdrawing its support for the Decoder Interface proposal filed on August 15, 1994 and the current draft Decoder Interface Standard (IS-105) developed by the Cable-Consumer Electronics Compatibility Advisory Group ("C<sup>3</sup>AG"). It also announced that it intends to file a draft of a descrambling-only decoder interface standard as soon as it is completed.

This action represents a unilateral repudiation of almost two years of negotiation and agreement between the cable industry and the consumer electronics industry to effectuate the Congressional objective in section 17 of the 1992 Cable Act -- ensuring compatibility between cable systems and consumer TV receivers and video cassette recorders, consistent with the need to prevent theft of cable service. In fulfilling this objective, the Commission concluded in its First Report and Order that the Decoder Interface connector and associated component devices recommended by the C<sup>3</sup>AG for new "cable-ready" equipment would achieve long-term compatibility and accommodate new technologies and services, including digital transmissions.

Mr. Richard Smith February 10, 1995 Page 2

Based on this fundamental premise, the Joint Engineering Committee of the C<sup>3</sup>AG has worked diligently to complete the design and specifications of the Decoder Interface standard. Ironically, EIA's pullout comes at a time when engineers on both sides continue to meet in the various subcommittees to hammer out the final details of the Decoder Interface. EIA's attempts to characterize the negotiations as one-sided are wholly inaccurate and counterproductive. Indeed, EIA did not seek the approval of, nor even consult with, the C<sup>3</sup>AG before withdrawing its support for the Decoder Interface.

The cable industry has negotiated in good faith with the consumer electronics industry. The issue separating the two sides is the remote control command set -- the means by which consumers will communicate with competitively-supplied services provided by cable, telephone, satellite and other providers and located in the set-back modules. As NCTA has maintained throughout this proceeding, a versatile and inter-operable command set is a prerequisite to ensuring maximum consumer choice in such services as on-screen guides, menu navigation, interactive services, and other as yet undefined future services. A limited command set, and certainly a descrambling-only interface approach, completely defeats this objective.

While EIA's <u>ex parte</u> statements are rife with factual errors and misrepresentations, we do not believe that further written <u>ex parte</u> communication with the Commission is a productive mechanism for resolving this highly complex and critical next step in the delivery of video and other information services to the American public. Both sides failed to come to an agreement. It now becomes necessary to proceed through the FCC's notice and comment procedures, in which all interested parties are invited to fully debate the relevant issues. Therefore, NCTA will not respond to the specific factual claims and legal assertions contained in the EIA and Consumer Electronics Retailers Coalition <u>ex parte</u> documents at this time.

Nevertheless, the cable industry remains committed to the Decoder Interface standards-setting process. We urge the Commission, however, to release its Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on this matter as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Daniel L. Brenner

Vice President

Law and Regulatory Policy

Wendell H. Bailey

Vice President

Science and Technology

Mr. Richard Smith February 10, 1995 Page 3

cc: John Nakahata, Office of Chairman Hundt
Maureen O'Connell, Office of Commissioner Quello
Lisa Smith, Office of Commissioner Barrett
Mary McManus, Office of Commissioner Ness
Jill Luckett, Office of Commissioner Chong
Bruce Franca, Office of Engineering and Technology
R. Alan Stillwell, Office of Engineering and Technology
John Wong, Cable Services Bureau

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Leslie D. Heath, do hereby certify that on this 13th day of February, 1995, copies of the foregoing "Letter to Richard Smith, Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology, Federal Communications Commission" was delivered by first-class, postage pre-paid mail upon the attached list.

Leslie D. Heath

Joseph P. Markoski Jeffrey A. Campbell Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW P.O. Box 407 Washington, DC 20036 Peter D. Ross Michael K. Baker Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Aaron I. Fleischman Howard S. Shapiro Fleischman and Walsh 1400 16th Street, NW Sixth Floor Washington, DC 20036 Howard J. Symons Christopher J. Harvie Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky & Popeo, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20004

Edward J. Callahan Vice President, Technology Antec Corporation 8101 E. Prentice Avenue Suite 210 Englewood, CO 80111 Nicholas E. Worth Telecable Corporation Dominion Tower 999 Waterside Drive Norfolk, VA 23510

Stephen R. Effros James H. Ewalt Robert J. Ungar Cable Telecommunications Association P.O. Box 1005 Fairfax, VA 22030-1005 Paul Glist Cole, Raywid & Braverman 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Vito Brugliera
Stephen E. Sigman
Zenith Electronics Corporation
1000 Milwaukee Avenue
Glenview, IL 60025

Ruth C. Rodgers
Executive Director
Home Recording Rights Coalition
P.O. Box 33576
1145 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20033

Cynthia Johnson Government Affairs Manager Hewlett-Packard Company 900 17th Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006Joseph Tasker, Jr. Compaq Computer Corporation 1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Bradley Stillman, Esq Legislative Counsel Consumer Federation of America 1424 16th Street, NW Suite 604 Washington, DC 20036 John V. Roach Ronald L. Parrish Tandy Corporation 1800 One Tandy Center Fort Worth, TX 76102

Richard L. Sharp W. Stephen Cannon Circuit City Stores, Inc. 9950 Mayland Drive Richmond, VA 23233 Philip L. Verveer Francis M. Buono Willkie, Farr & Gallagher 1155 21st Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-3384